IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANTONY DOMINIC

MONDAY, THE 8TH OCTOBER 2007 / 16TH ASWINA 1929

WP(C).No. 28207 of 2007(G)

PETITIONER:

THE VANIAMKULAM MINI INDUSTRIAL ESTATE
MANUFACTURERS' ASSOCIATION,
MINI INDUSTRIAL ESTATE, VANIAMKULAM,
PALAKKAD-679 522, REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY,
P.SURESH.

BY ADV. SRI.T.M.RAMAN KARTHA

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. THE STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY
THE SECRETARY, DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIES,
GOVERNMENT OF KERALA, TRIVANDRUM.

2. THE KERALA SMALL INDUSTRIES DEVELOPMENT
CORPORATION LIMITED (SIDCO)
A GOVERNMENT OF KERALA UNDERTAKING HOUSING BOARD
BUILDING, SANTHI NAGAR, TRIVANDRUM - 1
REPRESENTED BY ITS MANAGING DIRECTOR.

3. THE ESTATE MANAGER, SIDCO INDUSTRIAL
ESTATE, KARAKKAD, SHORNUR - 2,
PALAKKAD DISTRICT.

4. N.S.ANOOP, LAKSHMI NIVAS, KAVALAPARA,
SHORNUR - 679 523, PALAKKAD DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.R.T.PRADEEP, SC FOR SIDCO.
ADV. SHRI.I.V.PRAMOD, GOVERNMENT PLEADER

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 08/10/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

ANTONY DOMINIC, J.

WP(C) No. 28207 of 2007

Dated, this the 8th day of October, 2007

J U D G M E N T

The prayer in this writ petition is to direct the respondents to resume the land allotted to the 4th respondent and re-allot the same among the industrial units existing in the mini industrial estate in Vaniamkulam. The learned counsel for the petitioner pointed out that a plot was allotted to the 4th respondent and that on account of its non-utilisation, Ext.P5 show-cause notice for resumption was issued. It is also complained that further action has not been taken on Ext.P5 despite reminders are filed by the petitioner.

2. The learned Standing Counsel appeared on behalf of respondents 2 & 3, on instructions, submit that action pursuant to Ext.P5 has been initiated and that the 4th respondent has already filed his replies to Ext.P5. It is also submitted that the petitioner also has conveyed their objections in the matter. The learned Standing Counsel submits that the enquiry pursuant to Ext.P5 will be completed within one month from today and that allotment of the plot in question will be considered thereafter.

3. Now that the action has been initiated in pursuance to

Ext.P5, and all affected parties have already filed their responses, I dispose of this writ petition directing that the proceedings pursuant to Ext.P5 will be completed as expeditiously as possible, at any rate, within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

(ANTONY DOMINIC, JUDGE.)

jg